

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/189,043 | ROCKLAGE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael G. Hartley | 1618 | |

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) Mark Litman.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic .
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None specifically

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to inquire about canceling the newly added claims in the supplemental amendment to avoid a restriction under original presentation to place the previously presented claims in condition for allowance. It was agreed upon to cancel said newly added claims 42-139, to avoid this potential restriction. It was also agreed upon to cancel claims 31 and 37-41 and to present these claims as new claims to clarify the claims being allowed and clarify any formality problems with the supplemental amendment, i.e., text removed without showing brackets. Also, new claim 146, drawn to subject matter previously in claim 37 would be added. Said changes would be made by examiner's amendment for allowance..